

Today's Ogden News

ENTHUSIASM IN STATE MILITIA

Well Attended Meeting Stirred With Music and Patriotic Speeches.

POLICE FIND STOLEN GOODS.

Hidden in Ogden River Jungles—Death of Mrs. Harry O. Cook—Juvenile Court Appointment a Popular One.

Ogden, April 3.—At the meeting held last evening at the armory building for the purpose of awakening more enthusiasm in the state militia there were present about 200 people, despite the inclement weather. Much interest was manifested by most of those present in the movement. A special feature of the evening was the music furnished by the industrial school band, which elicited much favorable comment. The high school cadets and industrial school boys gave some excellent drill work. Speeches were made by Fred J. Kiesel, Rev. J. S. Carver, Sidney Stevens, R. S. Joyce, August Wright and William Glassman, who encouraged the young men of Ogden to join the militia and take an active part in the drill and other work being done, as Ogden is better equipped with a fine armory building, the best between Denver and California, and every other advantage to make the National Guard a success. It is believed the company here will be a

Manager, E. A. Larkin, 464 2nd St. Telephone 123-12.
Circulator, John J. McGregor, 225 Wash. Ave. Ind. Tel. 151.
Office with Lambert Paper Co.
Advertisements for the Daily, Saturday and Semi-Weekly News should be made to the circulation department, or to the publisher, at the same terms as at the Salt Lake Office.
Payments for the Daily, Saturday and Semi-Weekly News should be made to the circulation department, or to the publisher, at the same terms as at the Salt Lake Office.

STOLEN GOODS FOUND.

Police Traverse Ogden River Jungles And Make Rich Find.

Ogden, April 3.—The police while making the rounds through the jungles near the Ogden river yesterday afternoon, found four valuable automobile lamps in the brush where they had been hidden by thieves. Several months ago L. H. Hecraft shipped in several automobiles. When the car was opened the lamps were gone from two of the autos. He did not suspect that the car had been opened by thieves and the lamps stolen so reported to the manufacturers the lamps were shipped and the discovery of them after several months, only slightly damaged from the weather, cleared up the mystery. They are valued at \$200. It is believed the thieves who burglarized the car were afraid to sell the lamps here and therefore hid them in the brush. While making the rounds of the brush a hand bag that had been stolen from Mrs. Foster was found but the money and ring which it had contained were gone.

ACCEPTABLE APPOINTMENT.

Ogden, April 3.—The appointment of

Valley C. Gunnell to the position of juvenile judge of this district will meet with the approval of most of the citizens of Ogden. Mr. Gunnell has been a practicing attorney in Ogden for a number of years and is considered a man of good judgment, being well versed in the law, so that he will be able to mete out justice according to the law to the youth offenders of the law. He will assume the responsibilities of the office as soon as possible. It is expected that H. C. Jacobs will be reappointed probation officer.

DEATH OF MRS. HARRY O. COOK.

Mrs. Harry O. Cook, a well known and respected young lady of Hooper, Weber county, died yesterday at the home of a complication of stomach trouble, aged 23 years. She leaves behind her husband and two little children, many friends to mourn her untimely demise. The funeral services will be held at 1 o'clock at the Hooper meetinghouse.

WEDDING PERMITS.

The county clerk granted marriage licenses to the following couples: W. P. Bishop and Mrs. Celestia Jane Brown, both of Ogden.
Henry Krauss, 22, and Miss Hazel L. H. both of Ogden.
F. A. Honell of Culpeper, Nev., and Miss Adelaide Gordon of Ogden.

BRIEFS AND PERSONALS.

Mrs. Cora Cross of Los Angeles, Cal., and children are visiting in Ogden with her parents, Mr. and Mrs. T. W. Jones. The case of the state against Frank Deanna, has been continued in order to locate the defendant, who is believed to have jumped his bond of \$750.
At the home of Mr. and Mrs. Frank Wright, 574 Twenty-third street, a large number of friends gathered to sympathize with them in the death of their little 8-month-old baby boy. Two beautiful duets were sung by Misses Mayne Carter and May Conroy. Elder Alva Scoville was the speaker.

SELECTING JURY TO TRY ABE RUEF

One Talesman Accepted After Having Passed a Most Searching Examination.

QUESTIONS VERY POINTED.

Referred Particularly to Connection With Certain Newspapers and Prejudice for and Against Union Labor.

San Francisco, April 2.—A legal battle that promises to last for weeks and which is expected to be in a measure pivotal of all the criminal proceedings growing out of the grand jury's bribery graft investigation, was begun today when Abraham Ruef, San Francisco's indicted political boss, was placed on trial in Judge Dunne's department of the superior court on the charge of extorting large sums of money from local French restaurateurs under threat that unless paid he and Mayor Schmitz would prevent the renewal of their liquor licenses by the police commission.

Only a brief session of court was held in the morning, adjournment being taken until 2 o'clock owing to the absence of a talesman, for whom a bench warrant was issued. When court adjourned at the conclusion of the afternoon session one talesman had been examined and passed without challenge by both sides, and a second was under examination by the defense. The first is J. R. Bradstreet, a weatherstrip manufacturer of No. 75 Eddy street; bench warrant was issued for a real estate man, living at No. 2132 Pine street.

Hiram W. Johnson, as special counsel for the prosecution, and Henry Ach, of counsel for Ruef, conducted the examination of talesmen, and the questions put by them indicated to a degree how searching is to be the scrutiny of the talesmen. Each of the 12 men who will weigh the guilt or innocence of Ruef, aside from the stereotyped queries commonly put to the jurors to determine their qualifications, these are some of the more indicative questions to which the defense demanded direct answers.

"Do you personally know or have you ever had business relations or dealings with any of the owners, managers, editors, sub-publishers or reporters of the San Francisco press?"—naming many of them.

"Prior to the earthquake and fire, were you familiar with the French restaurants of this city, or did you know their proprietors, or ever have business dealings with them?"—naming each in turn.

"Have you an acquaintance, personal or business, with any member of the police commission, or their parents?"—calling a score or more of them by name.

"Do you know any members of the grand jury?"

"Have you any prejudice against lawyers?"

"Have you any prejudice against a lawyer because he charged a client more for professional services than you thought he was entitled to charge?"

"Are you prejudiced, politically or otherwise, against the Union Labor party?"

"Are you prejudiced against Abraham Ruef because of his participation in politics?"

"Have you acquainted with any one who is said to be the very active political enemy of Ruef or of Mayor Schmitz?"

"Have you formed any opinion as to the connection of Rudolph Spreckels with this prosecution?"

"Spreckels guaranteed a fund of \$50,000 for the expenses of the present investigation."

"Are you friendly with, or do you know, or have you had any dealings with any member of the Spreckels family?"

"If you knew the Spreckels family was behind this prosecution would that tend to prejudice you one way or the other?"

"Do you know which one of the superior judges named you as a talesman?"

"Has the fact that Judge Dunne disqualified Sheriff O'Neill and appointed an elisor, or that in your presence Judge Dunne declared Ruef to be a fugitive from justice, prejudiced you against the defendant?"

"Did you believe Ruef to be a fugitive from justice after he had been so declared?"

"Have you changed your mind on that point?"

"Did you believe that Judge Dunne believed that Ruef was a fugitive from justice?"

"Did your belief that Judge Dunne believed Ruef to be a fugitive from justice cause you to believe that Judge Dunne believed Ruef guilty of the crime charged against him?"

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's



Banks, 114 South Main street, are indifferent as to who may copy their styles. They claim originality and direct connection with American and European manufacturers that give them precedence in millinery. When anyone presumes to offer the same goods at a less price an issue is presented that will make it worth while for anyone to investigate, more especially those who would dress well at the least cost.

SELECTING JURY TO TRY ABE RUEF

One Talesman Accepted After Having Passed a Most Searching Examination.

QUESTIONS VERY POINTED.

Referred Particularly to Connection With Certain Newspapers and Prejudice for and Against Union Labor.

San Francisco, April 2.—A legal battle that promises to last for weeks and which is expected to be in a measure pivotal of all the criminal proceedings growing out of the grand jury's bribery graft investigation, was begun today when Abraham Ruef, San Francisco's indicted political boss, was placed on trial in Judge Dunne's department of the superior court on the charge of extorting large sums of money from local French restaurateurs under threat that unless paid he and Mayor Schmitz would prevent the renewal of their liquor licenses by the police commission.

Only a brief session of court was held in the morning, adjournment being taken until 2 o'clock owing to the absence of a talesman, for whom a bench warrant was issued. When court adjourned at the conclusion of the afternoon session one talesman had been examined and passed without challenge by both sides, and a second was under examination by the defense. The first is J. R. Bradstreet, a weatherstrip manufacturer of No. 75 Eddy street; bench warrant was issued for a real estate man, living at No. 2132 Pine street.

Hiram W. Johnson, as special counsel for the prosecution, and Henry Ach, of counsel for Ruef, conducted the examination of talesmen, and the questions put by them indicated to a degree how searching is to be the scrutiny of the talesmen. Each of the 12 men who will weigh the guilt or innocence of Ruef, aside from the stereotyped queries commonly put to the jurors to determine their qualifications, these are some of the more indicative questions to which the defense demanded direct answers.

"Do you personally know or have you ever had business relations or dealings with any of the owners, managers, editors, sub-publishers or reporters of the San Francisco press?"—naming many of them.

"Prior to the earthquake and fire, were you familiar with the French restaurants of this city, or did you know their proprietors, or ever have business dealings with them?"—naming each in turn.

"Have you an acquaintance, personal or business, with any member of the police commission, or their parents?"—calling a score or more of them by name.

"Do you know any members of the grand jury?"

"Have you any prejudice against lawyers?"

"Have you any prejudice against a lawyer because he charged a client more for professional services than you thought he was entitled to charge?"

"Are you prejudiced, politically or otherwise, against the Union Labor party?"

"Are you prejudiced against Abraham Ruef because of his participation in politics?"

"Have you acquainted with any one who is said to be the very active political enemy of Ruef or of Mayor Schmitz?"

"Have you formed any opinion as to the connection of Rudolph Spreckels with this prosecution?"

"Spreckels guaranteed a fund of \$50,000 for the expenses of the present investigation."

"Are you friendly with, or do you know, or have you had any dealings with any member of the Spreckels family?"

"If you knew the Spreckels family was behind this prosecution would that tend to prejudice you one way or the other?"

"Do you know which one of the superior judges named you as a talesman?"

"Has the fact that Judge Dunne disqualified Sheriff O'Neill and appointed an elisor, or that in your presence Judge Dunne declared Ruef to be a fugitive from justice, prejudiced you against the defendant?"

"Did you believe Ruef to be a fugitive from justice after he had been so declared?"

"Have you changed your mind on that point?"

"Did you believe that Judge Dunne believed that Ruef was a fugitive from justice?"

"Did your belief that Judge Dunne believed Ruef to be a fugitive from justice cause you to believe that Judge Dunne believed Ruef guilty of the crime charged against him?"

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

"If a witness for the prosecution whom you personally knew, and whom by virtue of that acquaintance you considered to be a truthful man, testified one way on a vital point in this case, and Ruef, whom, you say, you do not know, testified oppositely, would your acquaintance with the prosecution's

witnesses cause you to believe him and find Ruef guilty of this crime, you following the instructions which the court will deliver, give Ruef the benefit of the doubt and find him not guilty."

"Have you such a prejudice against persons called political bosses that you could not give them a fair trial?"

"Are you prejudiced against political bosses?"

"Do you belong to any labor union?"

"Are you prejudiced against the Union Labor party?"

"Suppose you could reach the conclusion that this case is being prosecuted with ulterior motives by the men who had failed to obtain by the influence of the defendant, franchisees, and were determined therefore to punish the board of supervisors by means of a special prosecution paid for out of a public fund—would that conclusion prejudice you against or in favor of the prosecution?"

To this question Judge Dunne sustained an objection by the people.

"If the rulings of this court on objections interposed should indicate to you that this court has reached a conclusion as to the guilt or innocence of Ruef, would it tend to prejudice you against the defendant?"

The process of jury-getting was frequently enlivened by flashes of counsel, out of which sprang keen retorts, and by flashes of unconscious humor struck down by the wit of the men.

Bradstreet early in the afternoon session fastened curious attention upon himself by the vehemence of his remarks, he expressed his disinclination to voluntarily sit in the jury-box. He declared that he thought he could better employ his time by attending to his business and looking out for his wife and babies than by "sitting here listening to this case."

Reminded by Prosecutor Johnson that he owed a duty to the municipality, the juror retorted:

"Yes, but my duty is to my family first, and I can't make a living here."

At another point in his examination, Bradstreet provoked a wave of merriment by replying thus to the question whether he had had any acquaintance with the keeper of a certain fashionable French restaurant:

"No, I haven't. Yes, I have, too. One day in 1878 or 1879 I went out and caught a lot of bullfrogs and took them down to his place and sold them to him. I had that acquaintance with the man. But I don't want to serve on this case."

By the time the talesman was finished with several of his fellow jurors were wiping tears from their eyes, and it was with difficulty the bailiffs kept order in the court.

During the examination of prospective jurors, Ruef sat close to Ach, and within six feet of the juror, averting them with unwavering glances, noting their replies keenly, whispering often with his counsel and frequently jotting down notes on a card.

Special Prosecutor Johnson and Ach, Ach exchanged sharp thrusts during the examination of Juror Duperu.

Duperu asked Ach, "visit Mar- chand's frequently—daily for lunch, as I did, or only upon occasions?"

"Kindly refrain from injecting your habits into this record, Mr. Ach," said Johnson.

Ruef's counsel, angered but cool, turned and began a cutting reply, when Judge Dunne interposed. "Proceed with the case, gentlemen," he commanded; "Mr. Ach, save your retort until after adjournment."

"If your honor would see to it that no occasion for retort were allowed," Ach answered, "I should not be under the necessity of making one."

A little later Ach broke in upon Duperu while he was replying to a question, and Johnson protested: "Go right ahead, answer, Mr. Duperu—don't mind him." Mr. Ach let the juror finish.

"Don't tell me what to do," replied Ach. "Somebody ought to," responded Johnson.

"You can't tell me how to proceed," retorted Ach.

"But I could teach you," was the reply.

After court was adjourned Mr. Johnson made the interesting statement that inasmuch as the motion for the prosecution upon which Sheriff O'Neill was disqualified for personal interest did not contemplate the appointment of an elisor for any purpose other than to have the custody of Ruef, the sheriff must be excused. Mr. Duperu, who was qualified by the duties of Elisor Biggs are to be extended to include the drawing of a special venire and the guarding of the jury. The understanding, though unofficial, is that this will be done.

The trial of Ruef will be resumed tomorrow morning.

Startups' Downy Chocolates

Showing the lines of a snappy spring

Crawford Shoe

THAT CUSTOM LOOK

If you have been looking for a combination of real comfort and style, here it is. Just the shoe for a careful dresser who is on his feet a great deal. This blucher is made of fashionable "Gun Metal" calf, —that tough wear-resisting leather.

One of the most popular

"Crawford"

styles ever produced.

Made by CHARLES A. EATON COMPANY, Brockton, Mass.

NICOL'S

210 Main Street

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

Relieve inflammation of the throat caused by cold or catarrh. Contains nothing injurious.

DO YOU GET UP WITH A LAME BACK?



Have You Rheumatism, Kidney, Liver or Bladder Trouble?

To Prove What Swamp-Root, the Great Kidney, Liver and Bladder Remedy, will do for YOU, all our Readers May Have a Sample Bottle Sent Free by Mail.

Pain or dull ache in the back is unmistakable evidence of kidney trouble. It is nature's timely warning to show you that the track of health is not clear.

If these danger signals are unheeded, more serious results are often sure to follow. Bright's disease, which is the worst form of kidney trouble, may steal upon you.

The mild and immediate effect of Swamp-Root the great kidney and bladder remedy, is soon realized. It stands the highest because its remarkable curative power has been proven in thousands of the most distressing cases.

If you need a medicine, you should have the best. A thorough trial will convince any one.

LAME BACK. Lame back is only one of many symptoms of kidney trouble. Other symptoms showing that you need Swamp-Root are, being obliged to pass water often during the day and to get up many times during the night, inability to hold your urine, smarting or irritation in passing, brick-dust or

sediment in the urine, catarrh of the bladder, uric acid, occasional headache, dizziness, poor digestion, sleeplessness, nervousness, sometimes the heart acts badly, rheumatism, bloating, irritability, worn-out feeling, lack of ambition, loss of flesh, sallow complexion.

If your water when allowed to remain in the bladder for twenty-four hours, forms a sediment or settling, or has a cloudy appearance, it is also evidence that your kidneys and bladder need immediate attention.

In taking Swamp-Root you afford nature's help to nature, for Swamp-Root is the most perfect helper and gentle aid to the kidneys that has yet been discovered.

If you are already convinced that Swamp-Root is what you need, you can purchase the regular 50-cent and \$1 size bottles at drug stores everywhere. Don't make any mistake, but remember the name, Swamp-Root, Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root, and the address, Binghamton, N. Y., on every bottle.

Special Note.—In order to prove the wonderful merits of Swamp-Root sent absolutely free by mail. The book contains many of the thousands of testimonials received from men and women who found Swamp-Root to be just the remedy they needed. The value and success of Swamp-Root are so well known that our readers are advised to send for a sample bottle. In sending your address to Dr. Kilmer & Co., Binghamton, N. Y., be sure to say you read this generous offer in the Salt Lake City Daily Deseret News. The genuineness of this offer is guaranteed.

After court was adjourned Mr. Johnson made the interesting statement that inasmuch as the motion for the prosecution upon which Sheriff O'Neill was disqualified for personal interest did not contemplate the appointment of an elisor for any purpose other than to have the custody of Ruef, the sheriff must be excused. Mr. Duperu, who was qualified by the duties of Elisor Biggs are to be extended to include the drawing of a special venire and the guarding of the jury. The understanding, though unofficial, is that this will be done.

The trial of Ruef will be resumed tomorrow morning.

Startups' Downy Chocolates

Showing the lines of a snappy spring

Crawford Shoe

THAT CUSTOM LOOK

If you have been looking for a combination of real comfort and style, here it is. Just the shoe for a careful dresser who is on his feet a great deal. This blucher is made of fashionable "Gun Metal" calf, —that tough wear-resisting leather.

One of the most popular

"Crawford"

styles ever produced.

Made by CHARLES A. EATON COMPANY, Brockton, Mass.

NICOL'S

210 Main Street